Proven and Calculated Strategies for Energy Reduction in Petroleum Refining: A Compendium of Over 40 Case Studies

Executive Summary

The global petroleum refining industry stands at a critical juncture, buffeted by the dual pressures of volatile market dynamics and an accelerating global energy transition. In this environment, strategic energy management has evolved from a matter of operational cost control into a fundamental pillar of competitive advantage and long-term viability. The refining process is inherently energy-intensive, with energy costs historically accounting for as much as 50% of a refinery's cash operating expenses.[1] Consequently, every unit of energy saved translates directly to improved margins, enhanced resilience, and a reduced environmental footprint. This report provides an exhaustive analysis of proven and calculated energy reduction strategies, substantiated by a comprehensive collection of over 40 case studies from refineries worldwide.

The analysis is structured across six key domains of technological intervention, progressing from foundational, high-return-on-investment measures to transformative, long-term strategic initiatives.

1. **Foundational Utility System Optimization:** This report begins with the essential, high-ROI improvements in steam systems, fired heaters, and rotating equipment. Case studies demonstrate that meticulous management of these core utilities, through measures like steam trap maintenance, excess air control, and the installation of variable speed drives, can yield energy savings in the 2-15% range with payback periods often under one year.
2. **Process Heat Integration:** Moving to system-level optimization, the report examines the retrofitting of Heat Exchanger Networks (HENs) and the recovery of waste heat. Proven methodologies like pinch analysis have been shown to unlock utility cost reductions exceeding 25%, with some cases demonstrating savings as high as 92% in cooling demand.

3. **Advanced Separation and On-Site Power Generation:** Analysis of process-intensified technologies, particularly in distillation, reveals significant potential. Dividing Wall Columns (DWCs), for example, have achieved energy savings of over 50% in commercial applications. Concurrently, on-site Combined Heat and Power (CHP) systems have proven to be a cornerstone of energy strategy for major refiners, boosting overall fuel efficiency to as high as 80% and providing substantial cost savings and operational reliability.
4. **Digitalization and Advanced Control:** The report details the transition from hardware upgrades to software-driven optimization. Advanced Process Control (APC) has delivered documented benefits including 8% energy reductions coupled with 3% throughput increases, generating tens of millions of dollars in additional annual revenue. The next frontier, Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML), is already demonstrating its potential, with pilot projects showing energy consumption reductions of 11-15%.
5. **Deep Decarbonization Pathways:** Finally, the report addresses the capital-intensive, strategic technologies essential for aligning with a net-zero future. Case studies on large-scale solar and wind power integration, the production of low-carbon "green" and "blue" hydrogen, and the implementation of Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS) are analyzed. These projects, while having longer payback horizons, are critical for future-proofing refinery assets against carbon pricing and evolving regulations.

The collective evidence from these case studies presents a clear and compelling conclusion: a multi-layered and phased approach to energy management is imperative. Refineries can and should embark on a continuous improvement journey, leveraging the immediate cash flow from foundational efficiency projects to fund progressively more ambitious and transformative investments. This strategic sequencing of initiatives offers the most robust pathway for navigating the challenges ahead, ensuring that refineries can not only survive but thrive in the new energy landscape.

Section 1: Foundational Energy Efficiency in Refinery Utility Systems

The most immediate and often most profitable energy efficiency gains in a petroleum refinery are found within its utility systems. These systems—steam, fired heat, and electricity—are the operational backbone, providing the energy required for every process unit. Because they are ubiquitous and represent a massive portion of total energy consumption, even modest percentage improvements can yield substantial absolute savings. The case studies in this section demonstrate that a disciplined focus on optimizing these foundational systems is the essential first step in any credible energy management program, characterized by proven technologies, low-to-medium capital investment, and rapid payback periods.

1.1 Steam System Optimization: The Refinery's Circulatory System

Steam is the lifeblood of a refinery, used for process heating, stripping, and motive force. The steam generation and distribution network is a vast and complex system where inefficiencies can lead to significant and continuous energy losses. In the oil refining industry, steam generation can account for as much as 23% of total energy use.[2] The following case studies illustrate that optimizing this system through diligent maintenance and targeted upgrades offers some of the highest-return investments available to a refiner.

1.1.1 Boiler Blowdown Control and Feed Water Quality

Boiler blowdown is necessary to control the concentration of dissolved solids in boiler water, but excessive blowdown wastes energy, water, and treatment chemicals. Optimizing this process begins with improving the quality of the boiler feed water (BFW). A compelling case study comes from the **Flying J Refinery (now Big West Oil)**, which replaced an aging hot lime water softener with a modern Reverse Osmosis (RO) unit for BFW preparation. The improved water quality allowed the refinery to slash its boiler blowdown rate from a high 13.3% to just 1.5% of steam production. This single project yielded annual benefits of approximately $200,000 with a payback period of less than two years, driven by savings in energy, chemical use, maintenance, and waste disposal.[1]

Even without major BFW treatment upgrades, blowdown can be optimized. The **Valero Houston Refinery** achieved cost savings of $213,500 per year simply by optimizing its blowdown steam use.[1] At the same refinery, the installation of an automatic blowdown control system on three boilers, at a capital cost of $180,000, reduced annual feedwater and chemical costs by approximately $100,000, for a simple payback of 1.8 years.[1] Similarly, the

American Refining Group Refinery in Pennsylvania reduced its blowdown rate from 9% to 2%, saving $23,000 annually. While modest, this saving was notable because a heat recovery system was already in place, demonstrating that multiple layers of efficiency can be applied.[1]

1.1.2 Condensate Recovery and Return

Returning hot, treated steam condensate to the boiler house is a fundamental energy-saving practice. It reduces the amount of cold makeup water that must be treated and heated, saving fuel, water, and chemical costs. Case studies show that even incremental improvements in condensate return yield significant savings. The **American Refining Group Refinery** increased its condensate return from 25% to 40%, resulting in energy savings of 27,000 MMBtu/year and cost savings of $140,000/year.[1] A similar project at the

CITGO Corpus Christi Refinery saw an additional 5% condensate recovery and return, saving 15,750 MMBtu/year and about $136,000 annually.[1] A case study in a natural gas processing plant, analogous to a refinery unit, identified a loss of over 3,000 kg per hour of steam condensate, highlighting the immense scale of potential losses if the system is not properly managed.[2]

1.1.3 Steam Trap Maintenance

Steam traps are automatic valves designed to discharge condensate and non-condensable gases while preventing the escape of live steam. They are a critical component for system efficiency, yet they are also a major point of failure. Studies have shown that leaking steam traps can be responsible for as much as 20% of the steam lost from a distribution network.[2] The financial impact of a failed steam trap population can be enormous, but the corrective action offers exceptionally high returns.

At the **Valero Houston Refinery**, a comprehensive program to repair and maintain steam traps yielded over 200,000 MMBtu/year in energy savings, translating to cost savings of $655,000. The simple payback time for this initiative was a remarkable 0.2 years, or just over two months.[1] An even larger-scale example comes from a

petroleum refinery in Louisiana, where a survey found that only 46% of its steam traps were operating correctly. The subsequent repair and maintenance program saved an estimated $1.3 million in steam losses, with a payback period of only 5.3 months.[1] These cases underscore a crucial point: steam trap maintenance should not be viewed as a discretionary, one-off project but as a continuous, high-priority operational practice. The rapid paybacks generate immediate free cash flow that can be used to fund other, more capital-intensive energy projects. Neglecting this foundational element while pursuing more complex initiatives is economically irrational; it is akin to attempting to fill a leaky bucket with a more efficient hose.

1.1.4 Insulation Maintenance

Similar to steam trap maintenance, ensuring the integrity of steam system insulation is a simple but highly effective measure. The **Valero Houston Refinery** provides a clear example, saving 52,000 MMBtu/year in energy and $165,000 in annual costs by insulating previously uninsulated or under-insulated steam lines and equipment. The project had a simple payback period of just 0.6 years.[1] At the

American Refining Group Refinery, re-insulating 12 heated product storage tanks where insulation had deteriorated resulted in annual savings of 12,000 MMBtu and $54,000.[1] These projects require minimal engineering and utilize mature, readily available technology, making them low-risk, high-return investments.

1.2 Fired Heater and Furnace Performance

Fired heaters and furnaces are the single largest energy consumers in a typical refinery, responsible for providing the high-temperature heat for distillation and reaction processes.[1] Their immense fuel consumption means that even minor improvements in combustion efficiency or heat recovery can lead to substantial financial and environmental benefits.

1.2.1 Excess Air and Oxygen Control

The key to efficient combustion is providing the precise amount of air needed to completely burn the fuel. Too little air leads to incomplete combustion, wasted fuel, and soot formation. Too much air (excess air) is the more common issue; the excess nitrogen and oxygen are heated to the furnace temperature and then exit up the stack, carrying away a significant amount of energy. Traditional control methods often operate with high levels of excess air (corresponding to 3-4% oxygen in the flue gas) as a safety margin. Modern control systems, however, can safely and reliably reduce this margin.

A benchmark case study is the **Valero Houston Refinery's CDU furnaces**. By installing new control systems that continuously monitor carbon monoxide (CO) in the flue gas, operators could confidently reduce excess oxygen from the typical 3-4% range down to 1%. This change resulted in a 3-6% reduction in energy use, saving an estimated $340,000, and delivered a crucial co-benefit: a 10-25% reduction in NOx emissions.[1] Following this success, Valero planned to upgrade 94 heaters across its system, anticipating total savings of $8.8 million per year.[1]

This strategy has been replicated with great success across the industry. The **Equilon Refinery (now Shell) at Martinez, California**, saved almost $12 million annually by reducing excess combustion air.[1] The

Paramount Petroleum asphalt refinery saved over $290,000 per year with a payback of just two months through regular maintenance to reduce excess draft air.[1] The

Marathon Petroleum Refinery in Illinois saved $365,000 per year by reducing average oxygen concentrations from 4% to 2.5% in three process heaters.[1] These cases consistently demonstrate that optimizing combustion air is a high-value, proven strategy.

1.2.2 Waste Heat Recovery (Air Preheating)

A significant amount of energy from a fired heater is lost in the hot flue gas exiting the stack. One of the most common methods to recover this energy is to use an air preheater, which is a heat exchanger that uses the hot flue gas to preheat the incoming combustion air. This reduces the amount of fuel needed to reach the required flame temperature.

A **refinery in the United Kingdom** provides a typical example. By installing a combustion air preheater on one of its Vacuum Distillation Units (VDUs), it reduced the flue gas temperature to 470°F, saving $109,000 per year in energy costs with a payback period of 2.2 years.[1] However, it is crucial to note that site-specific economics determine project viability. The

Marathon Petroleum Refinery identified a potential $2.1 million in annual savings from installing air preheating on two heaters, but the project was ultimately deemed uneconomical and was not implemented.[1] This highlights the necessity of rigorous techno-economic analysis for capital projects, as even proven technologies are not universally applicable.

1.2.3 Advanced Burner Technology

Upgrading burner technology can offer a unique opportunity to achieve both environmental compliance and energy efficiency simultaneously. The **Chevron Richmond, California Refinery** provides a powerful example. Faced with the need to reduce NOx emissions, the refinery chose to replace an old steam boiler with new low-NOx burners instead of installing a conventional, and costly, Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) unit. The new burners reduced NOx emissions from 180 ppm to below 20 ppm—a reduction of over 90%. Critically, this decision not only met the environmental target but also generated a massive economic benefit. It saved the refinery $10 million in avoided capital costs for the SCR unit and an additional $1.5 million in annual operating costs that the SCR would have incurred (e.g., for ammonia reagent and fan power). This case illustrates a vital strategic point: when evaluating environmental compliance projects, a holistic analysis that includes alternative technologies and their associated energy impact is essential. The default compliance path may not be the most economically or energetically efficient one.

1.3 Pumping and Rotating Equipment Efficiency

Pumps, compressors, and fans are the workhorses of a refinery, consuming a large portion of its total electricity. Many of these systems, particularly older ones, were designed for maximum throughput or reliability with less emphasis on energy efficiency. As a result, they are often oversized and operated inefficiently, for example by using a throttle valve to control flow, which is akin to driving a car with the accelerator pressed to the floor while controlling speed with the brake. Modern technologies like variable speed drives and improved operational practices can unlock significant electricity savings.

1.3.1 Variable Speed/Frequency Drives (VSDs/VFDs)

A Variable Speed Drive (VSD), also known as a Variable Frequency Drive (VFD), is an electronic device that controls the speed of an electric motor by varying the frequency and voltage of its power supply. By matching the motor's speed to the process demand, VSDs can dramatically reduce energy consumption compared to throttling flow with a valve.

The impact can be substantial, as shown by a project at the **Chevron Richmond Refinery**. The installation of a VSD on a single 2250 hp diesel hydrotreater feed pump reduced electricity consumption by 12 GWh per year, saving the refinery $700,000 annually. Notably, this project required no upfront capital from the refinery, as it was implemented through a local utility's demand-side management program.[1] At a

San Francisco refinery, installing VFDs on a product transfer pump and a primary feed pump saved a combined $340,000 per year and also eliminated recurring mechanical seal and bearing failures, providing an additional maintenance benefit.[1] An assessment at the

Murphy Oil Superior Refinery identified potential savings of 2.9 GWh per year, or $110,800, from upgrading just five pumps with VFDs.[1]

The consistent, high-value savings demonstrated in these cases suggest that a systematic audit of major motor-driven systems, especially large pumps operating with significant throttling, should be a standard component of any refinery's energy management program. The potential for high returns, coupled with the growing strategic importance of electrical efficiency as the industry considers greater electrification, warrants this focus.

1.3.2 Impeller Trimming and Proper Sizing

For centrifugal pumps that consistently operate at a lower flow rate than their design point, a simple and effective mechanical modification is to trim the pump's impeller. Reducing the impeller diameter lowers the head and flow the pump can deliver, bringing its best efficiency point closer to the actual operating point and reducing power consumption. An example from the chemical processing industry, which is directly analogous to refining applications, showed that trimming an impeller from 320 mm to 280 mm reduced power demand by over 25%. The investment cost was a mere $390, and the payback period on energy savings alone was just 23 days.[1] A similar project at

Salt Union Ltd. in the UK yielded power reductions of 30% (197,000 kWh/year) with a payback of only 11 days from energy savings.[1]

1.3.3 Operational Changes and System Design

Sometimes, significant savings can be achieved with no capital investment at all, simply by changing operating procedures. At the **Flying J Refinery**, an assessment identified potential savings of $39,000 per year by minimizing throttling losses on two 200 hp charge pumps. A further $28,000 per year was saved by shutting down a 250 hp pump when it was not needed.[1] Additionally, replacing traditional packed gland seals on pumps with modern mechanical seals can significantly reduce leakage of hot fluids. A

petrochemical facility in the UK replaced eight packed glands on a boiler feed pump with mechanical seals, saving about 1 GWh per year in energy that would have been required to heat the leaked water.[1]

Section 2: Maximizing Heat Recovery and Process Integration

Moving beyond the optimization of individual utility components, the next level of energy efficiency involves a holistic, system-level approach to managing heat within the refinery. The core principle of process integration is to minimize the consumption of expensive external utilities (steam, cooling water, fired heat) by intelligently reusing the heat that is already available in hot process streams. This is primarily achieved through the design and retrofitting of Heat Exchanger Networks (HENs) and the targeted recovery of high-value waste heat. These strategies represent a shift from component-level efficiency to true process optimization.

2.1 Heat Exchanger Network (HEN) Retrofitting and Fouling Mitigation

The Heat Exchanger Network is the circulatory system of process heat, designed to transfer thermal energy from hot product streams to cold feed streams, thereby preheating feeds before they enter furnaces and cooling products before they go to storage.[3] A well-designed HEN is the single most important factor in a refinery's overall thermal efficiency. However, many older refineries were designed when energy was cheap, and their HENs are suboptimal. Even in modern refineries, process changes or, more commonly, heat exchanger fouling can degrade HEN performance over time, creating significant opportunities for energy savings through retrofitting.[5]

2.1.1 Pinch Analysis-Based Retrofits

Pinch Analysis is a powerful thermodynamic methodology used to analyze and optimize HENs. It sets targets for the minimum possible energy consumption for a given process and provides a systematic framework for designing a network to achieve those targets.[4] Case studies of pinch-based retrofits demonstrate dramatic savings.

A notable case from a **refinery in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria** focused on retrofitting the Crude Distillation Unit (CDU) preheat train. The existing network had significant cross-pinch heat transfer—a cardinal sin in pinch analysis where hot streams are wastefully cooled with cold utility only to have cold streams heated with hot utility. The retrofit design, which eliminated these cross-pinch exchangers, resulted in a **16.6% reduction in operating costs and a 14% reduction in total annualized cost**. This was achieved by reconfiguring the network, leading to an 84.6% reduction in the number of heat exchangers and a 92.3% reduction in the number of shells, simplifying the network immensely.[7]

An even more striking example comes from the **Al-Basrah refinery's Atmospheric Distillation Unit (ADU)** in Iraq. An analysis using pinch principles and HINT software identified massive potential for improvement. The resulting retrofit design reduced the required cooling utility from 9 MW to just 0.72 MW, a **staggering 92% reduction**. The network was also simplified, reducing the number of heat exchangers from 24 to 13.[8] In Egypt, a HEN retrofit on a crude oil refinery achieved a

10.5% energy saving with only minor structural modifications, yielding an annual cost saving of **$360,000**.[5] These cases prove that a systematic, thermodynamically-grounded analysis of an existing HEN can uncover enormous, and sometimes non-obvious, opportunities for improvement.

2.1.2 The Practical Challenge of Fouling

While pinch analysis provides a powerful theoretical framework, its real-world application must contend with the persistent operational challenge of heat exchanger fouling. Fouling is the deposition of unwanted material (like asphaltenes, coke, or salts) on heat transfer surfaces, which insulates the surface, reduces heat transfer, and increases pressure drop.[9] The economic impact is severe; a study at a

North American refinery found that fouling in a single crude preheater exchanger was costing the facility **$2,500 per day** in lost energy recovery.[1] At the

Equilon (Shell) Martinez refinery, a comprehensive program of regular heat exchanger cleaning was estimated to provide annual savings of over **$14 million**.[1]

There exists a fundamental tension between designs that are theoretically optimal for heat recovery and those that are practically robust against fouling. Traditional pinch analysis often favors designs with high heat recovery, which can lead to low fluid velocities (due to stream splitting) and high tube wall temperatures. Unfortunately, these are the very conditions that can accelerate chemical reaction fouling in crude oil services.[10] This means a network designed for the best "Day 1" performance may quickly degrade, and the realized energy savings over time will be far less than predicted. Furthermore, the increased pressure drop from fouling can become a production bottleneck, forcing a reduction in refinery throughput, the cost of which can dwarf the energy penalty.[10]

This reality necessitates a more sophisticated approach to HEN design and retrofitting. Modern methodologies now integrate dynamic fouling models directly into the optimization process. These models predict the rate of fouling under different operating conditions (velocity, temperature) and allow engineers to design networks that balance heat recovery with fouling mitigation.[10] The goal is no longer to design the most efficient network in a clean state, but to design the most profitable and reliable network over a multi-year operational cycle, accounting for cleaning schedules and pressure drop constraints. This is particularly critical when processing heavier, opportunity crudes, which have a higher fouling potential and may require greater flexibility in the preheat train to manage desalter operating temperatures.[9]

2.2 Waste Heat and Flare Gas Recovery

Beyond the integrated process-to-process exchange in a HEN, refineries have significant point sources of high-quality waste heat and combustible gas that can be recovered. High-temperature flue gas from furnaces and process heaters, and gas sent to the flare system, represent direct losses of energy and sources of emissions.

2.2.1 Waste Heat Boilers

Installing a waste heat boiler (or heat recovery steam generator, HRSG) in the flue gas duct of a large process heater or unit like a Fluid Catalytic Cracker (FCC) is a common and effective way to recover high-temperature heat. A **refinery in the United Kingdom** provides a straightforward example: by installing a waste heat boiler on its FCC unit before the electrostatic precipitator, it generated valuable steam and saved

$210,000 per year, with a simple payback period of two years.[1]

2.2.2 Flare Gas Recovery

Flaring is a safety system designed to combust excess hydrocarbons during normal operations, startups, shutdowns, and upsets. However, routine flaring represents a continuous loss of valuable fuel gas and a source of emissions. Flare Gas Recovery (FGR) systems capture this low-pressure gas, compress it, and return it to the refinery's fuel gas system for use in furnaces and boilers.

The **Valero Houston Refinery** installed an FGR system to recover gas from three of its flares. The project saved **130,000 MMBtu per year**, equivalent to **$420,000** in fuel costs, and had a payback period of 2.4 years.[1] At the

Lion Oil Refinery in Arkansas, the installation of two FGR systems was so effective that it reduced flaring to near-zero levels during normal operations.[1] Even addressing the pilot flames that keep flares lit can save energy. A case study of a generic refinery showed that replacing a continuous burning pilot with a modern electronic ignition system saved 1.68 million scf of natural gas per year with a payback of less than three years.[1]

Section 3: Advanced Separation and Reaction Technologies

While utility optimization and heat integration provide system-wide benefits, significant energy savings can also be achieved by targeting the core process units themselves. This section delves into process intensification opportunities that fundamentally change the way separation and reaction are carried out, focusing on the most energy-intensive unit operations in the refinery. These technologies often require more significant capital investment but can deliver step-change improvements in efficiency.

3.1 High-Efficiency Distillation

Distillation is the workhorse separation technology in a refinery, but it is notoriously inefficient. With a typical thermodynamic efficiency in the range of only 5–20%, distillation columns are a prime target for process intensification.[11] They can account for 40–50% of a plant's total operating cost, meaning even a fractional improvement in efficiency can have a large impact on the refinery's bottom line.[11]

3.1.1 Dividing Wall Columns (DWC)

A Dividing Wall Column (DWC) is a mature and powerful process intensification technology that integrates what would traditionally be two or more separate distillation columns into a single shell. By inserting a vertical wall in the middle section of the column, it can separate a feed into three or more products simultaneously. This configuration avoids the significant remixing of components that occurs in conventional distillation sequences, which dramatically reduces the energy required for the separation.[12]

The benefits of DWCs are well-documented, with numerous studies and commercial applications demonstrating the potential for up to **30% reduction in both capital and operating costs** compared to conventional designs.[12] The standout commercial case study in the refining sector is the

ExxonMobil Fawley refinery in the UK. The facility operates a DWC for xylene recovery that has achieved **over 50% energy savings** while also improving product purity.[12] This is a clear, quantified demonstration of the transformative potential of the technology. In another application, a simulation study for the fractionation of oleochemical fatty acids (a process with similar challenges to some petrochemical separations) showed that a DWC configuration could save

10.2% on heating utility and 16.9% on cooling utility, while also reducing CO2 emissions by 10.2%.[14]

Despite these proven and substantial benefits, the widespread adoption of DWCs has been slower than their economics might suggest. This gap between potential and implementation is not due to a lack of performance, but rather to perceived operational hurdles. The primary barriers are the "complex hydraulics" and the "complexities to design them and operate them controllably".[13] The internal fluid dynamics are more intricate than in a standard column, and controlling the liquid and vapor splits around the wall to maintain product specifications can be challenging. Furthermore, standard process simulation software packages often lack a dedicated, easy-to-use DWC module, forcing engineers to employ more cumbersome and less robust multi-column workarounds to model the system.[16] Overcoming this adoption barrier will require not just highlighting the economic benefits, but also developing and disseminating standardized, robust dynamic simulation models and control strategies—such as those based on energy balance rather than mass balance—to build operator confidence and de-risk implementation.[13]

3.1.2 Exergy/Thermodynamic Analysis for Retrofits

A different, more analytical approach to improving distillation efficiency involves using thermodynamic tools like exergy analysis to pinpoint the sources of inefficiency within an existing column. Exergy, or available work, is a property that accounts for both the quantity and quality of energy, providing a true measure of thermodynamic inefficiency (irreversibility).[11] By calculating exergy loss profiles, engineers can identify exactly which sections or trays in a column are contributing the most to energy waste.

This approach was successfully applied in a case study at the **Tabriz refinery in Iran**. The study focused on the crude oil atmospheric distillation column and used exergy loss profiles to screen a list of potential retrofit options. The analysis identified a non-obvious but highly effective solution: modifying the feed configuration by re-routing the preflash vapor stream to a different tray in the column, rather than mixing it with the liquid feed. This single, relatively simple process change resulted in a **17.16% reduction in the column's total exergy losses**, which translated directly into a **3.6% reduction in the refinery's primary fuel demand**.[17] This case demonstrates the power of a systematic, science-based approach to identify high-impact, low-capital retrofits that might otherwise be overlooked.

3.1.3 Other Advanced Distillation Designs

Beyond DWCs, research continues to advance other novel column configurations. Technologies such as Vapor Recompression (VRC), where the overhead vapor is compressed to a higher temperature and used to heat the reboiler, and Internally Heat-Integrated Distillation Columns (iHIDiC), which transfer heat from the hotter rectifying section to the cooler stripping section, offer substantial potential savings.[11] A study using the "FluxMax" design approach identified a non-conventional column configuration that could reduce energy demand by up to 64% compared to a classical design, although it required additional heat exchanger area to realize this potential.[18]

3.2 Hydrogen Network Optimization

Hydrogen is a critical and expensive utility in modern refineries, essential for hydrotreating and hydrocracking processes that remove sulfur and upgrade heavy fractions into more valuable products. The production of hydrogen, typically via Steam Methane Reforming (SMR), is highly energy-intensive. Therefore, optimizing the production, purification, and distribution of hydrogen across the entire refinery network is a key lever for reducing both energy consumption and operating costs.

3.2.1 Hydrogen Pinch Analysis

Similar to thermal pinch analysis for HENs, hydrogen pinch analysis is a systematic technique used to minimize hydrogen consumption. It involves mapping all hydrogen sources (e.g., reformers, catalytic reformers) and hydrogen sinks (e.g., hydrotreaters) and identifying opportunities to cascade hydrogen from high-purity users to low-purity users, thereby minimizing the need for fresh hydrogen production.

A landmark case study was conducted at the **BP Carson, California refinery**. A detailed hydrogen pinch analysis identified a total potential savings of **$4.5 million per year** in operating costs. The refinery ultimately implemented a more cost-effective package of the recommended changes that still delivered a substantial saving of **$3.9 million per year**.[1] This demonstrates that a holistic view of the hydrogen network can unlock savings that are invisible when looking at individual units in isolation.

3.2.2 Advanced Hydrogen Recovery Technologies

A significant amount of valuable hydrogen is often lost in low-pressure off-gas streams that are sent to the refinery fuel gas system. Advanced separation technologies can be used to recover this hydrogen before it is burned as low-value fuel.

A **refinery in Texas** installed a **membrane separation system** to treat its excess fuel gas. The unit was designed to recover 1,400 barrels per day of Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) and **100,000 standard cubic feet per hour (scfh) of high-purity hydrogen**. The project had a rapid payback period of less than one year.[1] Another emerging technology is Rapid-Cycle Pressure Swing Adsorption (RCPSA). Compared to conventional Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA) units used for hydrogen purification, RCPSA technology uses a much faster cycle time, which allows for significantly smaller (more than 10 times smaller) adsorber vessels, reducing capital costs and footprint.[1] An

ExxonMobil refinery in France was an early adopter of this technology, showcasing its potential for more cost-effective hydrogen recovery.[1]

Section 4: On-Site Power Generation and Recovery

A refinery's relationship with electricity is a critical component of its energy strategy. Reducing reliance on the external grid by generating power more efficiently on-site and recovering energy from process streams can lead to significant cost savings, enhanced reliability, and a lower carbon footprint. This is particularly true for refineries, whose large and constant demand for both steam and power makes them ideal candidates for highly efficient on-site generation technologies like Combined Heat and Power (CHP).

4.1 Combined Heat and Power (CHP) / Cogeneration

Combined Heat and Power (CHP), also known as cogeneration, is a suite of proven technologies that generate electricity and useful thermal energy (typically steam) from a single fuel source. By capturing the waste heat from electricity generation, which is normally lost in a conventional power plant, CHP systems can achieve overall fuel efficiencies as high as 80%, compared to a combined efficiency of around 45% for separate, centralized electricity generation and on-site steam production.[3]

The **Flint Hills Resources Pine Bend Refinery** in Minnesota provides a major, modern case study of CHP implementation. In 2019, the facility commissioned a **50 MW natural gas-fired CHP system** as part of a larger $400 million technology and efficiency improvement program.[20] This single unit supplies approximately 40% of the refinery's total 120 MW power demand.[21] The system is highly integrated, using a state-of-the-art gas turbine, a heat recovery steam generator (HRSG), and a controlled extraction steam turbine to flexibly meet the plant's varying steam and power needs. In a notable design choice to conserve resources, the plant utilizes an air-cooled condenser instead of a traditional water-based cooling system, saving millions of gallons of water annually.[21] This project, which helped the refinery earn EPA ENERGY STAR certification, demonstrates the strategic value of CHP in boosting efficiency and reducing environmental impact.[21]

The adoption of large-scale CHP is a clear trend among major industry players. **ExxonMobil** has installed significant CHP capacity at its US refineries, including a 171 MW system at its Baytown, Texas complex and a massive 470 MW system at its Beaumont refinery.[1] Similarly,

BP operates a 564 MW CHP system at its Texas City refinery.[1] These large-scale deployments underscore the technology's strategic importance for ensuring reliable, cost-effective energy supply for complex refining operations.

The economic performance of CHP is well-documented. An early 34 MW unit constructed at the **Valero Houston Refinery** in 1990 resulted in savings of about **$55,000 per day**.[1] A more recent project at the

Paramount Petroleum asphalt refinery involved the installation of a 6.5 MWe gas turbine CHP unit. This project saved **$3.8 million annually** and had a simple payback period of just **2.5 years**, while also reducing the facility's risk of costly power outages.[1]

4.2 Power Recovery from High-Pressure Streams

Many refinery processes involve streams at high pressure and temperature that must be depressurized before the next stage of processing. Conventionally, this pressure drop is achieved by passing the fluid through a throttle valve, which wastes the considerable potential energy stored in the stream. A more efficient approach is to use a power recovery turbine, or turbo-expander, which expands the high-pressure stream across a turbine to drive a generator or a compressor, converting the otherwise wasted pressure energy into useful work.

The most common application of this technology in refineries is on the flue gas stream from Fluid Catalytic Cracking (FCC) units. The FCC regenerator operates under pressure, and the hot flue gas can be expanded to generate significant amounts of power. **Valero** has implemented this widely; a retrofit of the power recovery turbine at its **St. Charles refinery** resulted in a 36 MW unit, while an upgrade at its **Houston refinery** yielded **22 MW of power savings** and allowed for an increase in FCC capacity.[1] An older project at

Petro Canada's Edmonton refinery where an old turbo-expander was replaced with a more efficient unit saved approximately 18 TBtu annually.[1]

This technology is not limited to FCC units. The **Total refinery (now Zeeland Refinery) in the Netherlands** installed a 910 kW power recovery turbine to replace a throttle valve at its hydrocracker. The turbine, installed on the high-pressure liquid product stream, generates about 7.3 million kWh of electricity per year. With an investment of $1.2 million, the project had a payback period of approximately 2.5 years.[1] These cases show that any significant pressure drop in a process is a potential opportunity for energy recovery.

Section 5: The Digital Refinery: Leveraging Data for Efficiency

The evolution of energy efficiency in refining is increasingly shifting from physical hardware upgrades to intelligent software and data-driven optimization. The "digital refinery" leverages advanced analytics to push operations closer to their true potential in real-time, unlocking new layers of efficiency that are unattainable with manual control or basic automation alone.[24] This section examines how Advanced Process Control (APC) and the next-generation tools of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and

Machine Learning (ML) are transforming refinery profitability by simultaneously improving yield, throughput, and energy performance.

5.1 Advanced Process Control (APC) for Yield and Energy Gains

Advanced Process Control refers to a range of technologies that go beyond simple single-loop Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controllers. The most prevalent form of APC in refining is Model Predictive Control (MPC), which uses a dynamic mathematical model of a process to predict its future behavior and optimize control actions accordingly.[25] By managing dozens or even hundreds of interacting variables simultaneously, an MPC system can stabilize operations, reduce variability, and continuously push the process toward its most profitable operating limits, something a human operator cannot do.[27] A stable underlying Distributed Control System (DCS) or Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) is a prerequisite for successful APC implementation.[27]

The benefits of APC are multi-faceted and substantial. A landmark case study from a **major US refinery** that implemented an MPC-based APC system reported a suite of impressive results: an **8% reduction in energy consumption**, a **3% increase in overall throughput**, and an estimated **$20 million in additional annual revenue**.[25] This case powerfully illustrates a critical concept: the economic justification for APC is often driven by the immense value of increased throughput or improved yield of high-value products. While the energy savings are significant, the financial impact of producing several hundred thousand extra barrels of gasoline or diesel per year can be an order of magnitude greater. The APC system enables this by reducing process variability, which allows the average operating point to be moved closer to a profitable constraint (like maximum feed rate or maximum catalyst temperature) without increasing the risk of violating a safety or quality specification.[28] Therefore, when building the business case for APC projects, the value of increased production should be the primary driver, with energy savings presented as a significant and reinforcing co-benefit.

Other case studies confirm the energy-saving potential of APC. **LyondellBasell's Corpus Christi Olefins Complex** used an APC project to optimize the pressure of its dilution-steam header, a critical parameter in its cracking furnaces. This relatively simple application saved 73,000 MMBtu per year, translating to net savings of approximately **$300,000 annually** with minimal project cost and implementation time.[1] In a hydrotreater unit, APC can increase stability to the point where the product sulfur target can be safely raised closer to the specification limit (e.g., from a conservative 350 ppm to 450 ppm). This seemingly small change can result in a significant reduction in the consumption of expensive hydrogen and a corresponding decrease in operating costs.[28]

5.2 The Next Frontier: AI and Machine Learning Applications

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) represent the next evolutionary step beyond traditional APC. These technologies use more sophisticated, often data-driven algorithms to model complex non-linear processes, predict outcomes with greater accuracy, and identify optimization opportunities that may be invisible to conventional models.[29] While the application of AI in refining is still in its earlier stages compared to MPC, the results from initial deployments are highly promising.

A comprehensive case study from **BP** details the company's strategic use of AI across its operations. By integrating Internet of Things (IoT) sensors to collect vast amounts of real-time data and applying ML algorithms, BP has achieved a **20% increase in overall operational efficiency**, a **15% reduction in energy consumption**, and a **25% decrease in maintenance costs** through predictive maintenance.[30] The energy savings are achieved by using AI models to assess historical and real-time usage, identify optimization opportunities, and automatically adjust parameters like heating, cooling, and power distribution to minimize waste.[30]

Specific applications are also yielding impressive results. A pilot project at **Chevron** that used ML to optimize its hydrogen production process resulted in an **11% reduction in energy consumption**.[31] Fuel blending is another area ripe for AI-driven improvement. Blending is a complex process where slight deviations from the target specification can result in costly "quality giveaways." A case study on integrating a hybrid AI system, combining an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) with a Genetic Algorithm (GA), for gasoline blending demonstrated its ability to predict critical fuel properties with extremely high accuracy (

R2 of 0.99). This allows for real-time optimization of blend recipes, minimizing the use of expensive high-octane components and ensuring on-spec products, which directly translates to improved profitability and reduced waste.[32] As these technologies mature and become more integrated into refinery operations, they are expected to unlock further layers of efficiency and become a key competitive differentiator.

Section 6: Strategic Pathways to Deep Decarbonization

The preceding sections have focused on technologies that primarily enhance energy efficiency and reduce costs within the existing operational paradigm. However, the global imperative to address climate change and the rise of policies aimed at achieving net-zero emissions are compelling the refining industry to consider more profound, structural changes to its energy supply and production processes.[34] This final section examines the emerging, capital-intensive technologies that form the cornerstones of deep decarbonization. The investment logic for these projects is fundamentally different; it is driven less by traditional payback periods and more by long-term strategy, regulatory risk mitigation, corporate Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) commitments, and the potential to create new, low-carbon business models.

6.1 Integration of Renewable Power and Electrification

As the electrical grid becomes progressively decarbonized through the addition of wind, solar, and other renewable sources, electricity transforms from a source of Scope 2 emissions into a tool for decarbonization. Refineries can leverage this trend in two primary ways: generating their own renewable power on-site and electrifying process heat.

A landmark project in on-site generation is the **Flint Hills Resources Pine Bend Refinery's solar installation**. In 2023, the company commissioned a **45 MW solar farm** on 350 acres of land adjacent to the refinery.[23] The project, which cost an estimated

$75 million, is designed to directly power the refinery's operations, supplying up to **30% of its electricity needs** under optimal conditions. When combined with the refinery's existing 50 MW CHP plant, on-site generation could satisfy up to 70% of the facility's total power demand.[22] This project is a powerful demonstration of how large-scale industrial facilities can directly integrate renewable power to lower energy costs, improve efficiency, and reduce their Scope 2 emissions footprint.[23]

Wind power is another viable option. The **Valero McKee Refinery** in Texas completed the construction of a **50 MW wind farm** to supply power to its operations, showcasing the application of a different renewable technology at scale.[1] While direct electrification of high-temperature process heat (e.g., replacing fired heaters with electric heaters) is still an emerging area, these on-site generation projects are a critical first step, establishing the infrastructure and operational experience needed for a more electrified future.[37]

6.2 Low-Carbon Hydrogen Production and Use

Refineries are among the world's largest producers and consumers of hydrogen. Currently, this hydrogen is almost exclusively "grey" hydrogen, produced via Steam Methane Reforming (SMR), a process that releases significant quantities of CO_2. A central pillar of refinery decarbonization is the transition from grey hydrogen to low-carbon alternatives.[38]

- **Blue Hydrogen:** This is produced using the same SMR process, but the resulting CO_2 is captured and permanently stored underground via Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS).
- **Green Hydrogen:** This is produced through the electrolysis of water, using electricity from renewable sources like wind or solar. The process is entirely emissions-free.[39]

While the cost of green hydrogen is not yet competitive with grey hydrogen without subsidies or carbon pricing, numerous pilot projects are underway to prove the technology and drive down costs.[39] In 2022, Colombia's state-owned oil company,

Ecopetrol, launched a pilot project at its refining plant to produce **green hydrogen using solar energy**. The goal is to test and optimize the technology in a real-world industrial setting.[40] A higher-profile effort is the collaboration between

BP and Ørsted at the Lingen refinery in Germany. This project aims to use offshore wind power to run a **50 MW electrolyzer**, with the resulting green hydrogen replacing a portion of the grey hydrogen currently used at the site.[41]

Detailed simulations further validate the potential. A case study modeling the integration of a concentrated solar power system with an alkaline electrolyzer at a **refinery in Yanbu, Saudi Arabia**, showed that the system could produce green hydrogen while saving **131 tonnes per day of fuel oil** and mitigating **414 tonnes per day of CO_2 emissions**.[42] These projects represent the industry's first steps toward a future where hydrogen is not a source of emissions, but a key enabler of producing cleaner fuels.

6.3 Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS)

For the large, concentrated point sources of CO_2 emissions within a refinery—such as the FCC regenerator, hydrogen plant (SMR), and large process heaters—Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS) is widely regarded as an essential decarbonization technology.[35] CCUS involves capturing CO_2 from the flue gas, compressing it, and transporting it for permanent storage in deep geological formations, such as depleted oil and gas reservoirs.[44] It is the critical enabling technology for blue hydrogen and for abating emissions from the combustion of fossil fuels where electrification is not feasible.

While CCUS in the refining sector is still developing, a highly relevant and frequently cited large-scale project is **Shell's Quest facility in Alberta, Canada**. Although technically part of an oil sands upgrader, the project captures CO_2 from hydrogen manufacturing units (SMRs)—a core refinery process. Since its startup, the Quest project has successfully captured and stored over **1 million tonnes of CO_2 annually**, demonstrating the technical feasibility and reliability of large-scale CCUS on a refinery-type process.[43]

Global momentum for CCUS is growing, driven by supportive policies like the 45Q tax credit in the United States' Inflation Reduction Act.[38] The International Energy Agency (IEA) reports that over 700 CCUS projects are in various stages of development worldwide.[44] Other major operational projects, like the

Alberta Carbon Trunk Line in Canada, which transports CO_2 from a refinery and a fertilizer plant for use in enhanced oil recovery, further prove the viability of the entire CCUS value chain.[45] The investment decisions for these massive projects are complex, relying on long-term views of carbon pricing, regulatory certainty, and the strategic value of maintaining a social license to operate in a carbon-constrained world.

Conclusion and Strategic Outlook

This comprehensive analysis of over 40 case studies reveals a clear and actionable hierarchy of opportunities for energy reduction in petroleum refining. The path to a more efficient, profitable, and sustainable refinery is not a single leap but a structured journey of continuous improvement, built upon a foundation of proven technologies while strategically investing in transformative, long-term solutions. The data consistently shows that a portfolio approach, balancing short-term returns with long-term strategic positioning, is the most robust strategy for navigating the complex landscape ahead.

The foundational layer of this strategy lies in the meticulous optimization of utility systems. The rapid paybacks demonstrated in steam system maintenance—often measured in months, not years—represent the lowest-risk, highest-return investments available. These projects, including steam trap management, condensate return, and insulation repair, should be treated as a continuous operational priority, generating immediate free cash flow that can be reinvested into more capital-intensive initiatives. Similarly, optimizing fired heater combustion and implementing variable speed drives on rotating equipment offer substantial savings with paybacks typically under two years.

Building upon this foundation, system-level process integration through HEN retrofitting and on-site Combined Heat and Power (CHP) offer the next tier of significant, proven returns. While requiring more engineering and capital, these projects deliver deep and lasting reductions in energy consumption, with CHP in particular offering a powerful combination of cost savings, reliability, and efficiency.

The third tier of opportunity is found in the adoption of advanced technologies, both in physical processing and digital control. Process intensification with Dividing Wall Columns has proven its ability to slash energy use by over 50% in the right application. Concurrently, the deployment of Advanced Process Control (APC) and AI/ML systems offers a paradigm shift in operational capability. The value of these digital tools extends beyond direct energy savings; by enabling higher throughput and improved yields of high-value products, they can generate tens of millions of dollars in annual revenue, making them among the most impactful investments a refinery can make.

Finally, the strategic imperative of the energy transition demands engagement with deep decarbonization technologies. Large-scale integration of renewables, the shift to low-carbon hydrogen, and the deployment of CCUS are capital-intensive endeavors driven by long-term policy, ESG commitments, and the need to future-proof assets. While their economics differ from traditional efficiency projects, they are essential for ensuring the industry's long-term license to operate.

The following table synthesizes these findings into a strategic guide, providing decision-makers with a high-level map of the opportunity landscape.

Table 1: Strategic Technology Opportunity Matrix

Technology Category	Typical Energy Savings (%)	Typical Payback Period Range	Capital Intensity	Technological Maturity	Primary Benefit Driver
Foundational Utilities					
Steam Trap & Insulation Maint.	2-5% (System)	< 1 Year	Low	Mature	Cost
Boiler & Furnace Air Control	3-6% (Unit)	< 2 Years	Low-Medium	Mature	Cost / Compliance
VSDs on Rotating Equipment	20-50% (Motor)	< 3 Years	Medium	Mature	Cost
Process Integration					
HEN Retrofit (Pinch)	10-30% (Unit)	2-5 Years	Medium-High	Mature	Cost
Combined Heat & Power (CHP)	30-40% (vs. Separate)	2-5+ Years	High	Mature	Cost / Reliability
Advanced Technologie					

s					
Dividing Wall Columns (DWC)	30-50%+ (Unit)	3-5+ Years	High	Mature	Cost / Capex
Advanced Process Control (APC)	5-10% (Unit)	< 2 Years	Medium	Mature	Yield / Throughput
AI / Machine Learning	10-15% (Unit)	< 3 Years	Medium	Established	Yield / Cost
Deep Decarbonization					
On-site Renewables (Solar/Wind)	N/A (Scope 2)	> 5 Years	High	Mature	ESG / Strategy
Low-Carbon Hydrogen (Green/Blue)	N/A (Scope 1)	> 5 Years	Very High	Emerging	ESG / Strategy
Carbon Capture (CCUS)	90%+ (Capture)	> 5 Years	Very High	Established	ESG / Compliance

By strategically sequencing investments across this portfolio—using the rapid returns from foundational projects to fund the more complex, higher-impact initiatives—refineries can build a resilient and competitive future. The journey begins with a single, well-maintained steam trap and culminates in a digitally optimized, low-carbon facility poised to meet the energy demands of tomorrow.

Appendix

Table 2: Master Compendium of Energy Reduction Case Studies

Case ID	Refinery /	Location	Process/Unit	Technology/M	Quantified	Quantified	Quantified	Source(s)

	Company		Improved	easure Implemented	Energy Savings	Economic Impact (Savings, Payback)	CO_2/Emissions Reduction	
1	Valero Houston Refinery	Houston, TX, USA	Steam Generation (Boiler blowdown)	Optimization of blowdown steam use	-	$213,500/year	-	[1]
2	Flying J Refinery (Big West Oil)	North Salt Lake, UT, USA	Boiler Feed Water (BFW) preparation	Reverse Osmosis (RO) unit installation	Reduced blowdown from 13.3% to 1.5%	~$200,000/year; Payback < 2 years	-	[1]
3	American Refining Group	Bradford, PA, USA	Steam Distribution (Condensate return)	Increased condensate return from 25% to 40%	27,000 MMBtu/year	$140,000/year	-	[1]
4	CITGO	Corpus Christi, TX, USA	Steam Distribution (Condensate return)	Additional 5% condensate recovery	15,750 MMBtu/year	~$136,000/year	-	[1]
5	Valero Houston Refinery	Houston, TX, USA	Steam Generation (Boiler blowdown)	Automatic blowdown control system	-	~$100,000/year; Payback 1.8 years	-	[1]

6	Valero Houston Refinery	Houston, TX, USA	Steam Distribution (Insulation)	Insulation of uninsulated/under-insulated lines	52,000 MMBtu/year	$165,000/year; Payback 0.6 years	-	1
7	Valero Houston Refinery	Houston, TX, USA	Steam Distribution (Steam traps)	Repair and maintenance of steam traps	>200,000 MMBtu/year	$655,000/year; Payback 0.2 years	-	1
8	Petroleum Refinery	Louisiana, USA	Steam Distribution (Steam traps)	Repair and maintenance of steam traps	-	$1.3 million/year; Payback 5.3 months	-	1
9	Valero Houston Refinery	Houston, TX, USA	CDU Furnaces	CO control system to reduce excess O_2	3-6% reduction in energy use	~$340,000/year	10-25% NOx reduction	1
10	Paramount Petroleum Corp.	Paramount, CA, USA	Process Heaters	Maintenance to reduce excess draft air	~100,000 MMBtu/year	>$290,000/year; Payback 2 months	-	1
11	Refinery	United Kingdom	VDU Furnace	Combustion air preheater installation	Flue gas temp reduced to 470°F	$109,000/year; Payback 2.2 years	-	1

12	Chevron Richmond Refinery	Richmond, CA, USA	Steam Boiler	Low-NOx burner installation	-	Saved $10M capex + $1.5M/yr opex	>90% NOx reduction	[1]
13	Chevron Richmond Refinery	Richmond, CA, USA	Diesel hydrotreater feed pumps	Adjustable Speed Drive (ASD) installation	12 GWh/year reduction	$700,000/year	-	[1]
14	San Francisco Refinery	San Francisco, CA, USA	Product transfer & feed pumps	Variable Frequency Drive (VFD) installation	500,000 kWh/month	$340,000/year combined	-	[1]
15	Chemical Processing Industry	N/A	Pump Impeller	Impeller trimming	>25% power reduction	Payback 23 days	-	[1]
16	Nigerian Refinery	Niger Delta, Nigeria	CDU Preheat Train (HEN)	Retrofit eliminating cross-pinch exchangers	-	16.57% operating cost reduction	-	[7]
17	Al-Basrah Refinery	Al-Basrah, Iraq	ADU (HEN)	Pinch analysis retrofit	92% cooling utility reduction (9 to 0.72 MW)	-	-	[8]

18	Egyptian Refinery	Egypt	HEN	Pinch analysis retrofit	10.5% energy savings	$360,000/year	-	5
19	North American Refinery	North America	Crude Preheater	Heat exchanger cleaning	Furnace inlet temp increased 11°C	$2,500/day	-	1
20	Valero Houston Refinery	Houston, TX, USA	Flare System	Flare gas recovery system	130,000 MMBtu/year	$420,000/year; Payback 2.4 years	-	1
21	Exxon Mobil Fawley Refinery	Fawley, UK	Xylene Recovery	Dividing Wall Column (DWC)	>50% energy savings	-	-	12
22	Tabriz Refinery	Tabriz, Iran	Atmospheric Distillation Column	Exergy analysis-based retrofit (feed rerouting)	3.6% primary fuel demand reduction	-	-	17
23	BP Carson Refinery	Carson, CA, USA	Hydrogen Network	Hydrogen pinch analysis	-	$3.9 million/year realized savings	-	1
24	Texas Refinery	Texas, USA	Fuel Gas System	Membrane for H_2 and LPG recovery	100,000 scfh H_2 recovery	Payback < 1 year	-	1

25	Flint Hills Resources	Pine Bend, MN, USA	Power & Steam Generation	50 MW Natural Gas CHP System	Supplies 40% of refinery power	Part of $400M upgrade program	Reduced GHG emissions	21
26	Paramount Petroleum Corp.	Paramount, CA, USA	Power & Steam Generation	6.5 MWe Gas Turbine CHP	-	$3.8 million/year; Payback 2.5 years	-	1
27	Valero St. Charles Refinery	St. Charles, LA, USA	FCC Unit	Power recovery turbine retrofit	36 MW power generation	-	-	1
28	Total (Zeeland) Refinery	Vlissingen, Netherlands	Hydrocracker	Power recovery turbine	7.3 million kWh/year	Payback ~2.5 years	-	1
29	Major US Refinery	USA	Overall Process	MPC-based Advanced Process Control (APC)	8% energy consumption reduction	$20 million/year additional revenue	-	25
30	LyondellBasell	Corpus Christi, TX, USA	Olefins Complex (Distillation)	APC for steam header pressure optimization	73,000 MMBtu/year	~$300,000/year	-	1
31	BP	Global	Overall Operat	AI & Machin	15% reducti	25% lower	-	30

			ions	e Learning program	on in energy consumption	maintenance costs		
32	Chevron	USA	Hydrogen Production	Machine Learning optimization	11% energy consumption reduction	-	-	31
33	Flint Hills Resources	Pine Bend, MN, USA	Power Generation	45 MW Solar Farm	Supplies 30% of refinery power	$75 million project cost	Reduces Scope 2 emissions	22
34	Valero McKee Refinery	Sunray, TX, USA	Power Generation	50 MW Wind Farm	Supplies refinery power	-	Reduces Scope 2 emissions	1
35	Ecopetrol	Colombia	Hydrogen Production	Green hydrogen pilot project (solar)	-	-	-	40
36	BP / Ørsted	Lingen, Germany	Hydrogen Production	Green hydrogen project (offshore wind)	50 MW electrolyzer	-	-	41
37	Yanbu Refinery (Model)	Yanbu, Saudi Arabia	Hydrogen & Steam Production	Concentrated Solar Power integration	Saves 131 tonnes /day fuel oil	-	Mitigates 414 tonnes /day CO_2	42

38	Shell Quest Project	Alberta, Canada	Hydrogen Production (SMR)	Carbon Capture & Storage (CCUS)	-	-	>1 million tonnes /year CO_2 stored	43
39	ADNOC Refining	Abu Dhabi, UAE	Overall Energy Management	ISO 50001 EnMS initiatives	5 million GJ over 2 years	$25 million over 2 years	>200,000 tons CO_2 over 2 years	46
40	Kaduna Refinery (KRPC)	Kaduna, Nigeria	Vacuum Distillation Unit (VDU) HEN	Re-sequencing retrofit	-	$439.5/ year savings; Payback 3.9 years	-	47
41	Kaduna Refinery (KRPC)	Kaduna, Nigeria	Vacuum Distillation Unit (VDU) HEN	Area addition retrofit	-	$746.6/ year savings; Payback 4.3 years	-	47
42	Kaduna Refinery (KRPC)	Kaduna, Nigeria	Vacuum Distillation Unit (VDU) HEN	New heat exchanger addition	-	$33,810/year savings; Payback 4.9 years	-	47
43	Equilon (Shell) Martinez	Martinez, CA, USA	HEN and Insulation	Regular cleaning and insulation maintenance	-	>$14 million/ year savings; Payback ~8 months	-	1

44	BP's Kwinana Refinery	Kwinana, Australia	CDU and Residue Cracking Unit	Process integration	35-40% reduction in combined heating demand	Payback 1.6 years	-	1
45	Romanian Refinery	Romania	FCC Unit	Process integration	27% reduction in utilities	Payback 19 months	-	1

Works cited

1. Energy Efficiency Improvement and Cost Saving ... - Energy Star, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/tools/ENERGY_STAR_Guide_Petroleum_Refineries_20150330.pdf
2. Technical-Economic Analysis of Energy Efficiency Solutions for the Industrial Steam System of a Natural Gas Processing Plant - MDPI, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/20/14995
3. Petroleum Refining: A Path to Sustainability, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/petroleum-refining-sustainability-strategies
4. Energy Savings in the Heat Exchanger Network of an Oil Refinery Pre-Heat Train Unit Using a Path's Combination at Different HRAT Values - MDPI, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9717/10/12/2541
5. Analysis and revamping of heat exchanger networks for crude oil refineries using temperature driving force graphical technique | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318794500_Analysis_and_revamping_of_heat_exchanger_networks_for_crude_oil_refineries_using_temperature_driving_force_graphical_technique
6. Retrofit of the Heat Recovery System of a Petroleum Refinery Using Pinch Analysis, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.scirp.org/pdf/JPEE_2013112615320781.pdf
7. Heat Exchanger Network Retrofit Design by Eliminating ... - Ajer.org, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.ajer.org/papers/v2(5)/B0251118.pdf
8. Energy Saving in Atmospheric Distillation Unit by Retrofit Design of ..., accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.ijert.org/research/energy-saving-in-atmospheric-distillation-unit-by-

retrofit-design-of-heat-exchanger-networks-of-al-basra-refinery-IJERTV6IS040316.pdf
9. Designing a crude unit heat exchanger network - DigitalRefining, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.digitalrefining.com/article/1000567/designing-a-crude-unit-heat-exchanger-network
10. Retrofitting Crude Oil Refinery Heat Exchanger Networks to Minimise Fouling While Maximising Heat Recovery - ECI Digital Archives, accessed June 16, 2025, https://dc.engconfintl.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1027&context=heatexchanger
11. A Comparison of the Exergy Efficiencies of Various Heat-Integrated Distillation Columns, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/15/18/6498
12. Optimal Operation of an Industrial Dividing Wall Column through ..., accessed June 16, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.iecr.3c00836
13. Distillation Improvement Opportunities Part 2: Improvements Through the Use of Dividing Wall Columns - Features - The Chemical Engineer, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.thechemicalengineer.com/features/distillation-improvement-opportunities-part-2-improvements-through-the-use-of-dividing-wall-columns/
14. (PDF) PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF DIVIDING WALL COLUMN MODEL FOR FRACTIONATION OF OLEOCHEMICAL FATTY ACID - ResearchGate, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342244945_PERFORMANCE_ANALYSIS_OF_DIVIDING_WALL_COLUMN_MODEL_FOR_FRACTIONATION_OF_OLEOCHEMICAL_FATTY_ACID
15. Experimental and Theoretical Studies of a Dividing-Wall Column Used for the Recovery of High-Purity Products - ResearchGate, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231375832_Experimental_and_Theoretical_Studies_of_a_Dividing-Wall_Column_Used_for_the_Recovery_of_High-Purity_Products
16. Equation-Oriented Modeling of Dividing Wall Columns Jingsong Zhou - ChemSep, accessed June 16, 2025, http://www.chemsep.org/downloads/docs/JingsongZhou.pdf
17. (PDF) Thermodynamic evaluation of distillation columns using ..., accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235736608_Thermodynamic_evaluation_of_distillation_columns_using_exergy_loss_profiles_A_case_study_on_the_crude_oil_atmospheric_distillation_column
18. Energy-Efficient Distillation Processes by Additional Heat Transfer Derived From the FluxMax Approach - Frontiers, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/energy-research/articles/10.3389/fenrg.2020.00134/full
19. Cogeneration/Combined Heat and Power (CHP) - Sites at Lafayette, accessed June 16, 2025, https://sites.lafayette.edu/egrs451-fa16/files/2016/11/Cogen-CHP-Climate-TechBo

ok.pdf
20. Pine Bend Refinery - Facility | EIP Oil & Gas Watch, accessed June 16, 2025, https://oilandgaswatch.org/facility/1008
21. Refinery 50 MW Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Addition, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.powereng.com/library/refinery-50-mw-combined-heat-and-power-chp-addition/
22. News release Flint Hills Resources to build largest-of-its-kind solar installation to help power its Minnesota refinery operat, accessed June 16, 2025, https://pinebendrefinery.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/5-10-22-Pine-Bend-solar-project-press-release-local.pdf
23. News release Flint Hills Resources becomes first US refinery and largest manufacturing facility to be significantly powered by o, accessed June 16, 2025, https://pinebendrefinery.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/11.10.23-Solar-project-commissioning-press-release-final-.pdf
24. AI-driven refinery transformation: Boosting efficiency and reducing downtime - CGI.com, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.cgi.com/en/article/energy-utilities/AI-driven-refinery-transformation-boosting-efficiency-reducing-downtime
25. Advanced Process Control (APC): The Secret Weapon of the Refining Industry—And Its Potential Beyond - Just Measure it - Zero Instrument, accessed June 16, 2025, https://zeroinstrument.com/advanced-process-control-apc-the-secret-weapon-of-the-refining-industry-and-its-potential-beyond/
26. Refinery Process Control → Term - Pollution → Sustainability Directory, accessed June 16, 2025, https://pollution.sustainability-directory.com/term/refinery-process-control/
27. How industries can take advantage of APC like the refining sector - Control Engineering, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.controleng.com/how-industries-can-take-advantage-of-apc-like-the-refining-sector/
28. (PDF) Advanced Process Control - ResearchGate, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226411866_Advanced_Process_Control
29. AI is driving the growth of the low-carbon hydrogen economy | CGI.com, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.cgi.com/en/article/energy-utilities/artificial-intelligence-driving-low-carbon-hydrogen-economy
30. AI Case Study: AI for Operational Efficiency in Oil and Gas at BP, accessed June 16, 2025, https://redresscompliance.com/ai-case-study-ai-for-operational-efficiency-in-oil-and-gas-at-bp/
31. The Role of Machine Learning in Enhancing Energy Efficiency in Oil ..., accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.modernghana.com/news/1405332/the-role-of-machine-learning-in-enhancing-energy.html

32. A Case Study on Integrating an AI System into the Fuel Blending Process in a Chemical Refinery - MDPI, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2305-7084/9/1/4
33. A Case Study on Integrating an AI System into the Fuel Blending Process in a Chemical Refinery - ResearchGate, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387719510_A_Case_Study_on_Integrating_an_AI_System_into_the_Fuel_Blending_Process_in_a_Chemical_Refinery
34. Energy Efficiency - Energy System - IEA, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.iea.org/energy-system/energy-efficiency-and-demand/energy-efficiency
35. New IEA report highlights the need and means for the oil and gas industry to drastically cut emissions from its operations - News, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.iea.org/news/new-iea-report-highlights-the-need-and-means-for-the-oil-and-gas-industry-to-drastically-cut-emissions-from-its-operations
36. Executive summary – The Oil and Gas Industry in Net Zero Transitions – Analysis - IEA, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.iea.org/reports/the-oil-and-gas-industry-in-net-zero-transitions/executive-summary
37. Powering up: Pathways to decarbonize refining - Oil and Gas Climate Initiative | OGCI, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.ogci.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/Pathways-to-Decarbonize-Refining-Report.pdf
38. Optimal Retrofit of Carbon Capture and Electrification Technologies in Oil Refineries for Reducing Direct CO2 Emissions | Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research - ACS Publications, accessed June 16, 2025, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acs.iecr.4c04995
39. How can hydrogen spark the next zero-emissions revolution? | EY Japan, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.ey.com/en_jp/services/strategy/how-hydrogen-can-spark-the-next-zero-emissions-revolution-report
40. The landscape of green hydrogen in Colombia - NewClimate Institute, accessed June 16, 2025, https://newclimate.org/sites/default/files/2023-11/The%20landscape%20of%20green%20hydrogen%20in%20Colombia_nov2023.pdf
41. Opportunities in the growing hydrogen market | Norton Rose Fulbright - December 2020, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.projectfinance.law/publications/2020/december/emerging-opportunities-in-the-hydrogen-market/
42. Analysis of a Solar-Assisted Crude Oil Refinery System with Onsite Green Hydrogen Generation: A Case Study | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381196232_Analysis_of_a_Solar-Assisted_Crude_Oil_Refinery_System_with_Onsite_Green_Hydrogen_Generation_A_Case_Study
43. Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage in the Refinery Sector, accessed June 16,

2025, https://www.energycentral.com/energy-biz/post/carbon-capture-utilization-and-storage-refinery-sector-Bae5Kfkt4Epmnjl
44. Carbon Capture Utilisation and Storage - Energy System - IEA, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.iea.org/energy-system/carbon-capture-utilisation-and-storage
45. Carbon capture and storage: What can we learn from the project track record?, accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.catf.us/fr/resource/carbon-capture-storage-what-can-learn-from-project-track-record/
46. ISO 50001 Energy Management System – Case Study: ADNOC ..., accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.cleanenergyministerial.org/content/uploads/2022/09/cem-em-casestudy-adnocrefining-uae.pdf
47. retrofit design of heat exchanger networks for kaduna refinery's ..., accessed June 16, 2025, https://www.azojete.com.ng/index.php/azojete/article/download/995/570/